

On rime Ansatz

Oleg Ogievetsky* and Todor Popov†

Abstract

The ice Ansatz on matrix solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation is weakened to a condition which we call *rime*. Generic rime solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation are described. We prove that the rime non-unitary (respectively, unitary) R-matrix is equivalent to the Cremmer–Gervais (respectively, boundary Cremmer–Gervais) solution. Generic rime classical r-matrices satisfy the (non-)homogeneous associative classical Yang–Baxter equation.

Let V be a vector space. Among solutions $\hat{R} \in \text{End}(V \otimes V)$ of the Yang–Baxter equation

$$(\hat{R} \otimes \mathbb{1})(\mathbb{1} \otimes \hat{R})(\hat{R} \otimes \mathbb{1}) = (\mathbb{1} \otimes \hat{R})(\hat{R} \otimes \mathbb{1})(\mathbb{1} \otimes \hat{R}), \quad (1)$$

there is a class characterized by the so called *ice condition* (see lectures [1] for details) which says that $\hat{R}_{kl}^{ij}$ can be different from zero only if the sets of upper and lower indices coincide,

$$\hat{R}_{kl}^{ij} \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \{i, j\} \equiv \{k, l\}.$$

We introduce the *rime* Ansatz, relaxing the ice condition: the entry $\hat{R}_{kl}^{ij}$ can be different from zero if the set of the lower indices is a subset of the set of the upper indices,

$$\hat{R}_{kl}^{ij} \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \{k, l\} \subset \{i, j\}.$$

Matrices for which it holds will be referred to as *rime* matrices. A rime matrix has the structure (to avoid redundancy, we fix $\beta_{ii} = 0$, $\gamma_{ii} = 0 = \gamma'_{ii}$)

$$\hat{R}_{kl}^{ij} = \alpha_{ij} \delta_l^i \delta_k^j + \beta_{ij} \delta_k^i \delta_l^j + \gamma_{ij} \delta_k^i \delta_l^i + \gamma'_{ij} \delta_k^j \delta_l^j \quad (\text{no summation}). \quad (2)$$

We denote by α_i the diagonal elements $\hat{R}_{ii}^{ii}$, $\alpha_i := \alpha_{ii}$. Throughout the text we shall assume that the matrix $\hat{R}$ is invertible which, in particular, implies that $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for all i .

The ice and rime matrices are made of 4×4 elementary building blocks, respectively,

$$\hat{R}^{ice} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{12} & \alpha_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{21} & \beta_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{R}^{rime} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_{12} & \beta_{12} & \alpha_{12} & \gamma'_{12} \\ \gamma'_{21} & \alpha_{21} & \beta_{21} & \gamma_{21} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

We call a rime matrix “strict” if $\alpha_{ij} \gamma_{ij} \neq 0 \forall i$ and j , $i \neq j$. Strict rime matrices are necessarily not ice.

All propositions hereafter are given without proofs, for further details see [2].

*Centre de Physique Théorique, Luminy, 13288 Marseille, France

†Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, 1784, Bulgaria

Proposition 1. *The Yang-Baxter equation implies that the quantity $\beta := \beta_{ij} + \beta_{ji}$ does not depend on i and j . A strict rime Yang-Baxter solution (2) has, up to a change of basis, the form*

$$\hat{R}_{kl}^{ij}(\beta_{ij}) = (1 - \beta_{ji})\delta_l^i \delta_k^j + \beta_{ij}\delta_k^i \delta_l^j - \beta_{ij}\delta_k^i \delta_l^i + \beta_{ji}\delta_k^j \delta_l^j . \quad (4)$$

Lemma 1. *The rime Yang-Baxter solution (4) is of Hecke type,*

$$\hat{R}^2(\beta_{ij}) = \beta \hat{R}(\beta_{ij}) + (1 - \beta)I , \quad I := \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} . \quad (5)$$

When $\beta \neq 2$, $\hat{R}(\beta_{ij})$ is of GL -type: multiplicities of the eigenvalues of 1 and $\beta - 1$ are, respectively, $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ and $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.

Proposition 2. *The non-unitary ($\beta \neq 0$) strict rime Yang-Baxter solutions (4) are parameterized by a point $\phi \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}^n$ in a projective space, $\phi = (\phi_1 : \phi_2 : \dots : \phi_n)$, such that $\phi_i \neq 0$ and $\phi_i \neq \phi_j$, $i \neq j$. The β_{ij} 's of the solution $\hat{R}(\beta_{ij})$ are given by*

$$\beta_{ij} = \frac{\beta \phi_i}{\phi_i - \phi_j} , \quad \hat{R} := \hat{R}\left(\frac{\beta \phi_i}{\phi_i - \phi_j}\right) . \quad (6)$$

If one and only one $\phi_i = 0$, then $\hat{R}$ is again rime Yang-Baxter solution (it is not strict).

Proposition 3 *The unitary ($\beta = 0$) rime R -matrices $\hat{R}(\beta_{ij}^{(0)})$ are parameterized by a vector $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ such that $\mu_i \neq \mu_j$,*

$$\beta_{ij}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\mu_i - \mu_j} , \quad \hat{R}_0 := \hat{R}(\beta_{ij}^{(0)}) . \quad (7)$$

The Cremmer-Gervais solution [3] of the Yang-Baxter equation in its general two-parametric form reads (we use a rescaled matrix with eigenvalues 1 and $-q^{-2}$)

$$(\hat{R}_{CG,p})_{kl}^{ij} = q^{-2\theta_{ij}} p^{i-j} \delta_l^i \delta_k^j + (1 - q^{-2}) \sum_{i \leq s < j} p^{i-s} \delta_k^s \delta_l^{i+j-s} - (1 - q^{-2}) \sum_{j < s < i} p^{i-s} \delta_k^s \delta_l^{i+j-s} , \quad (8)$$

where θ_{ij} is the step function ($\theta_{ij} = 1$ when $i > j$ and $\theta_{ij} = 0$ when $i \leq j$).

Proposition 4 *The rime R -matrix $\hat{R}$ (6) transforms to the Cremmer-Gervais matrix*

$$\hat{R} = (X(\phi) \otimes X(\phi)) \hat{R}_{CG,1} (X^{-1}(\phi) \otimes X^{-1}(\phi)) , \quad \beta = 1 - q^{-2} , \quad (9)$$

by a change of basis with the matrix $X(\phi)$ whose entries are the elementary symmetric polynomials $e_i(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{s_1 < \dots < s_i} x_{s_1} x_{s_2} \dots x_{s_i}$,

$$X_j^k(\phi) := e_{j-1}(\phi_1, \dots, \hat{\phi}_k, \dots, \phi_n), \quad \det X = \prod_{j < k} (\phi_j - \phi_k) . \quad (10)$$

We now consider solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter (cYB) equation (i.e., classical r -matrices), $[r_{12}, r_{23}] + [r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{13}, r_{23}] = 0$ which are (quasi-)classical limits of the rime R -matrices above. Denote by P the permutation operator, $P x \otimes y = y \otimes x$.

Corollary 1 *The non-unitary rime R -matrix $R = P\hat{R}$ is linear in the parameter β ,*

$$R = I + \beta r, \quad \text{where} \quad r = \sum_{i,j:i \neq j} \frac{1}{\phi_i - \phi_j} (\phi_i e_j^i - \phi_j e_j^j) \otimes (e_i^j - e_i^i). \quad (11)$$

R is a quantization of the non-skew-symmetric rime r -matrix, $r + r_{21} = P - I$. Similarly $R_{CG,1} := P\hat{R}_{CG,1} = I + \beta r_{CG}$, thus the matrix r is equivalent to the matrix

$$r_{CG} = \sum_{i < j} \sum_{s=1}^{j-i} (e_{i+s-1}^j \otimes e_{j-s+1}^i - e_{i+s-1}^i \otimes e_{j-s+1}^j), \quad r = \text{Ad}_{X(\phi)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X(\phi)}(r_{CG}). \quad (12)$$

The non-skew-symmetric cYB solution such as r and r_{CG} are classified by Belavin-Drinfeld triples [4]. Gerstenhaber and Giaquinto introduced the notion of *boundary* skew-symmetric cYB solution: a solution which lies on the boundary of the space of skew-symmetric solutions of the modified classical Yang-Baxter equation [5] (these solutions are in turn into one-to-one correspondence with non-skew-symmetric solutions of cYB).

Proposition 5 *The unitary rime R -matrix $R_0 = P\hat{R}_0$ is the quantization¹ of the skew-symmetric rime matrix r_0*

$$R_0 = 1 + r_0, \quad r_0 = \sum_{i,j:i < j} \beta_{ij}^{(0)} Z_j^i \wedge Z_i^j, \quad (13)$$

where $Z_j^i := e_j^i - e_j^j$ generate a subalgebra of the matrix algebra and $x \wedge y := x \otimes y - y \otimes x$. The cYB solution r_0 is equivalent to the Cremmer-Gervais boundary solution [5, 6]

$$b = \sum_{i < j} \sum_{k=1}^{j-i} e_i^{i+k} \wedge e_j^{j-k+1}, \quad r_0 = \text{Ad}_{X(\mu)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X(\mu)}(b). \quad (14)$$

By a sophisticated construction [5] one can show that b is the boundary solution attached to r_{CG} [6]. In the rime basis, the cYB solutions b and r_{CG} are transformed to the r -matrices r_0 and r , respectively. One of advantages of the rime basis is that the unitary limit is explicit: expand $\phi_i = 1 + \beta\mu_i + o(\beta)$ and take the limit

$$\beta_{ij} = \frac{\beta(1 + \beta\mu_i + o(\beta))}{\beta\mu_i - \beta\mu_j + o(\beta)} \xrightarrow{\beta \rightarrow 0} \beta_{ij}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\mu_i - \mu_j}.$$

Hence $R \xrightarrow{\beta \rightarrow 0} R_0$ and $\beta r \xrightarrow{\beta \rightarrow 0} r_0$ (but the transform $X(\phi)$ becomes singular in the limit).

On the matrix level one can write R_0 as an exponential due to the nilpotency of r_0 [6]

$$r_0^2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad R_0 = e^{r_0} = I + r_0.$$

The idempotency of r yields a similar exponential formula for R

$$r^2 = -r \quad \Rightarrow \quad R = e^{hr} = I + (1 - e^{-h})r,$$

so the quasi-classical approximation is exact in the renormalized parameter $\beta = \beta(h) = 1 - e^{-h}$.

¹The small parameter can be absorbed in the μ 's

Consider the splitting of the cYB equation: $\mathbf{A}'(r) - \mathbf{A}(r) = 0$,

$$\mathbf{A}(r) := r_{13}r_{12} - r_{12}r_{23} + r_{23}r_{13} , \quad \mathbf{A}'(r) := r_{12}r_{13} - r_{23}r_{12} + r_{13}r_{23} .$$

It was shown in [7] that a solution of the associative cYB (acYB) equation $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ defines the Newtonian coalgebra structure of an infinitesimal bialgebra [8]. The boundary r-matrices b and r_0 satisfy the acYB equations $\mathbf{A}(b) = 0$, $\mathbf{A}(r_0) = 0$.

The non-skew-symmetric r-matrices r_{CG} and r satisfy the non-homogeneous acYB equation

$$\mathbf{A}(r) = -r_{13} .$$

and $r + r_{21} = P - I$ (thus $\mathbf{A}(\tilde{r}) = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}$, $\tilde{r} + \tilde{r}_{21} = P$, where $\tilde{r} = r + \frac{1}{2}I$).

In the associative algebra generated by r_{13} , r_{23} , r_{12} having as relations the non-homogeneous acYB equations $\mathbf{A}(r) = \xi r_{13}$, $\mathbf{A}'(r) = \xi r_{13}$ and the Hecke condition $r_{ij}^2 = \xi r_{ij} + \eta$, $\{ij\} = \{13\}, \{23\}, \{12\}$ (ξ and η are arbitrary constants) the following identities hold [2]

$$r_{12}r_{23}r_{12} = r_{23}r_{12}r_{23} , \quad r_{12}r_{13}r_{23} = r_{23}r_{13}r_{12} .$$

i.e., the matrix r satisfies the both forms of the “quantum” Yang-Baxter equation.

The acYB (respectively, non-homogeneous acYB) solutions are related to the Rota-Baxter operators of zero (respectively, non-zero) weight. In the example of integration and summation operators, the deformation from zero to non-zero weight is given by the Euler-Maclaurin formula [9].

Acknowledgements. Todor Popov expresses his gratitude for the kind invitation and hospitality during the conference *Supersymmetry and Quantum Symmetries 07* in Dubna. The work was supported by the ANR project GIMP No.ANR-05-BLAN-0029-01.

References

- [1] O. Ogievetsky, *Uses of quantum spaces*; Contemp. Math. **294** (2002), 161-232.
- [2] O. Ogievetsky and T. Popov, *R-matrices in Rime*; ArXiv: 0704.1947 [math.QA]
- [3] E. Cremmer and J.-L. Gervais, *The quantum group structure associated with non-linearly extended Virasoro algebras*; Comm. Math. Phys. **134** (1990), 619-632.
- [4] A.A. Belavin and V.G. Drinfeld, *Triangle equations and simple Lie algebras*; Sov. Sci. Rev. **C4** (1984), 93-166.
- [5] M. Gerstenhaber and A. Giaquinto, *Boundary solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equation*; Lett. Math. Phys. **40** (1997), 337-353. ArXiv: q-alg/9609014.
- [6] R. Endelman and T. Hodges, *Generalized Jordanian R-matrix of Cremmer-Gervais type*; Lett. Math. Phys. **52** (2000), 225-237. ArXiv: math.QA/0003066.
- [7] M. Aguiar, *Infinitesimal Hopf algebras*. Contemp. Math. **267** (2000) 1-30.
- [8] S. Joni and G. Rota, *Coalgebras and Bialgebras in Combinatorics*; Studies in Applied Mathematics **61**(1979), 93-139.
- [9] O. Ogievetsky et V. Schechtman, *Nombres de Bernoulli et une formule de Ramanujan*; ArXiv: 0711.1592 [math.CA]